Evaluating Economic Impacts from Transportation Investments in Israel

Presented at:

ITED Conference 2014, April 10th, Dallas, TX

by Rimon Rafiah, Economikr Vladimir Simon, Israel Ministry of Transportation and Road Safety Shahar Ziv, BDO Ziv-Haft





Background

- Israel has a transport appraisal guidance, known as Nohal Prat
- Nohal Prat does not currently take into account the fact that transport investment can and do create positive long-term real effects in the economy
- Nohal Prat uses two approaches: GDP and welfare economy
- This research is an "equivalent" to EIA, but its attempt to incorporate "other" benefits – is unique in Israel – therefore, avoiding double counting is a prime necessity

Research Structure

- Research question: What additional effects (apart from traditional direct effects) does a transportation investment have?
- Observing the phenomenon including survey and literature review
- Model development
- Calibration to the Israeli experience
- Model Implementation incl. choosing project types to operate it on
- Focus in this research real GDP effects (after construction) while avoiding double counting.



Observing the Phenomenon



Observing the Phenomenon

- Once the firm has decided to relocate it is not always because of transport projects
- Other reasons can include availability of land, tax breaks, industrial park managers, proximity to "anchor plants" (Intel)
- We conducted a survey among plant managers, industrial parks, government grant applications, etc.
- We discovered that under ceteris paribus firms will prefer relocating to where the transport project reduces their costs
- This finding was in line with many firm location theories
- If the firm has major freight haulage they do prefer locating in a centrally strategic area with transport facilities



General Economic Model

- A firm does not relocate if it does not expect to "gain" from it (i.e. increased profits)
- Once there is increased profits it will allow the firm to invest –
 i.e. possibly new employment
- So, we are looking for a model which will "explain" changes in labor supply as a result of a transportation project
- One of the classic models in this respect is the Wider Economic Benefits (WEB) model of the UK Department for Transport

Model Development



Model Choice

- DfT's WEB model is part of the Transport Appraisal Guidance in the UK
- DfT TAG is quite similar to Nohal Prat in Israel, so it was a natural choice
- WEB has been tried and tested in several locales
- Documentation readily available
- Quite easily implemented with available data sources in Israel

WEB Model Description

- The WEB model identifies 3 major new benefits
 - Agglomeration higher productivity (WI1)
 - Benefits from increased competition (WI3)
 - Changes in Labor Supply (WI4)
- Our research concentrates on WI4
- It uses basic economic theory changes in transport cost will change employment as a function of labor supply elasticity
- The changes in transport cost must be from the user's point of view (i.e. including taxes)
- The transportation project must be in an area with low labor force participation or higher-than-average unemployment



Steps in WEB Model

- Transportation project decreases cost
- This decreased cost will allow firms to employ more people
- These new employees gain a salary, from which the government gains taxes (both direct and indirect)
- These new taxes can be used to increase public welfare which would not have occurred if there were no transportation investment

Israel WEB Model Elements

- Estimating transportation cost from the user's point of view
- Estimating elasticity of labor supply with regard to transportation costs
- Salary of new entrants into the labor market
- Translating into GDP and jobs
- From new GDP, estimate tax take
- The tax take is the additional government expenditure which can increase welfare, and is not included in current Nohal Prat practice in Israel and is therefore not considered to be double counting!

Implementation and Calibration



Transportation Costs

- Increased employment therefore rides to and from work
- Using actual out-of-pocket costs
 - Nohal Prat has vehicle operating costs without taxes and subsidies, and is based on vehicle speed and other variables
 - ► Taxes factor added on gasoline, labor, parts (around 50% in Israel)
- Value of time use user's perceived value of time, based on a logit model and surveys implemented in Israel
- Estimate transportation costs with/without project using 4step models or other means



Elasticity of Labor Supply

- First attempt to estimate it through the survey
- Problem plant managers didn't know or did not differentiate between employment increase which resulted from transport that could be isolated from other reasons of employment increase
- Solution use Berechman and Paaswell's model (2002) of simultaneous equations calibrated to the Israeli experience in southern Israel
- Elasticity with regard to transport for different values ranges between 0.11 and 0.19 – in line with other research around the world

Estimating New Employment

- Implementing transportation project will cause reduction in out-of-pocket costs
- Evaluate % reduction in user costs (A)
- Multiply A by elasticity multiplied by existing number of employees will give new employees (permits zone-specific employment, calibration, implementation)
- We assumed that these new employees will earn Israeli minimum wage (very conservative assumption)

Estimating New Employment

- Implementing transportation project will cause reduction in out-of-pocket costs
- Evaluate % reduction in user costs (A)
- Multiply A by elasticity multiplied by existing number of employees will give new employees (permits zone-specific employment, calibration, implementation)
- We assumed that these new employees will earn Israeli minimum wage (very conservative assumption)

Increased Welfare (Tax Take)

- Multiplying the new labor entrants by GDP-to-wage factor (currently 2.5 in Israel) will give GDP
- Multiply GDP by % of taxes will give the new tax revenue which resulted from the transportation project
- This can be implemented in one of two ways
 - Since the transportation project is usually a government expenditure and the tax revenue is a government income, then, in terms of present value, it's a smaller outlay for the government
 - Add the tax revenue to the benefit stream

Area of influence / Project Selection

- Technically, this model can be implemented mathematically on any transport project
- On a macroeconomic scale, the new employment on small projects can be minimal
- Therefore, we decided to implement it only on large scale projects, which influence major areas (such as Tel Aviv district)
 both public transit and freeway/highway projects
- The area of influence is decided upon by mileage radiuses
- The farther the specific area is, the smaller the influence

Implementation in Israel

- Major road project in Israel Road No. 431 from Modiin to Rishon LeZion and from there to Ayalon Freeway
- Average elasticity in influence area 15.6%
- Employment increase about 865 new workers (an increase of about 11% in the steady state growth of employment), as a permanent increase
- Benefit increase of about \$4 million a year (about 2% additional benefits)
- GDP increase of about \$30 million a year

Summary

- Putting Israel on the map in terms of WEB / EIA
- Model framework which presents why traditional benefits (savings in time and vehicle operating costs) are not the sole influences of a transportation project
- The model includes the classic elements of EIA GDP, jobs, wages, tax revenue
- Easy to implement with available data sources
- Different projects in different areas have different effects –
 model allows for diversification
- Is not a "black box" model

Thank you!

For more info:

Rimon Rafiah

Economikr, Israel

Email: rimon@economikr.com

Phone (@conference): (240) 838-6455

USA Phone (rings everywhere): (917) 795-8233

Phone (Israel): +972-73-737-3513